World Journal of Multidisciplinary Research
worldmultidisciplinaryjournal.com
Online ISSN: 3107-9539
Received: 02-01-2026, Accepted: 01-02-2026, Published: 15-03-2026
Volume 2, Issue 1, 2026, Page No. 40-48

Sustainable hydrocarbon operations: Using AI-driven smart oilfield analytics and circular resource recovery as enabling technologies

Usenobong Donatus Ekponyoh[1], Wilson Udo Udofia[2], George Williams Kennedy[2]
[1] Department of Geology, University of Port Harcourt, Rivers, Nigeria
[2] Department of Technical Education Akwa Ibom State University of Education, Afaha Nsit, Nigeria

Abstract
Hydrocarbon exploration supports energy supply, industrial activity and economic growth, but generates substantial environmental pressures. Operations produce drilling cuttings, fluids, produced water, sludge, spent catalysts and chemical residues that impact soil, water and air quality. Produced water constitutes the largest waste stream, containing salts, hydrocarbons, metals and treatment chemicals. Its untreated discharge alters water chemistry, affects aquatic life and contributes to ecosystem degradation. Similarly, gas flaring, oil spills and improper waste management further threaten environmental stability, disrupt agriculture and fisheries and pose risks to human health. In regions with intensive oil and gas activity, such as the Niger Delta, these challenges have affected soil fertility, water quality, food production and community well-being. The paper delineated that recycling and reuse provide pathways for managing waste while recovering resources. Produced water can be treated for irrigation, industrial applications or reinjection into reservoirs. Drilling cuttings can be transformed into construction aggregates and residual hydrocarbons can be recovered for chemical applications. Circular approaches treat by-products as resources rather than disposal burdens, supporting material retention and reducing environmental discharge. Waste recovery practices also offer economic opportunities such as cost savings, revenue generation and employment in processing and reuse activities. The integration of circular practices, effective governance and resource recovery transforms hydrocarbon exploration from a linear extraction model into a more sustainable approach, reducing environmental burden and supporting social and economic benefits for affected communities.

Keywords: Hydrocarbon exploration, recycling, reuse, produced water, circular economy, environmental management, waste valorization; sustainable operations

Introduction

Hydrocarbon exploration underpins contemporary energy systems through the extraction, processing and distribution of crude oil and natural gas. These activities supply industrial operations, transportation networks, electricity generation and domestic energy needs (Gardas, Raut & Narkhede, 2019) [19]. Petroleum use has shaped industrial development and economic growth since the nineteenth century, creating a reliance on fossil fuel-based energy infrastructures (Inayat *et al*., 2020) [27]. Fossil fuels currently account for approximately 85% of global energy demand, with oil and gas forming a substantial portion (Mariyam *et al*., 2022) [36]. Renewable energy adoption has increased over recent decades, yet hydrocarbons remain central to global energy supply chains (IEA, 2023) [28]. Oil and gas operations generate environmental pressures from drilling, production, transport, refining and waste disposal whose activities produce drilling cuttings, drilling fluids, produced water, flue gases, spent catalysts, sludge and chemical residues, all of which can affect soil, surface water, groundwater and air quality (Ogunmakinde, Sher & Maund, 2019) [41]. Oil spills, gas flaring, wastewater discharge and improper handling of waste contribute to ecosystem degradation, loss of biodiversity and health hazards for affected populations. Produced water constitutes the largest waste stream from hydrocarbon extraction, originates from formation water brought to the surface during oil production and from water injected to maintain reservoir pressure (Miranda *et al*., 2022) [37]. Its composition varies with geological formations, reservoir chemistry, extraction techniques and hydrocarbon properties (Olajire, 2020) [44]. Produced water contains high concentrations of total dissolved solids, salts, oil and grease, total petroleum hydrocarbons, BTEX compounds, phenols, organic acids, suspended solids, heavy metals and treatment chemicals (Beyer *et al*., 2020) [8]. Salinity levels can surpass 300,000 ppm, posing significant risks to freshwater and marine ecosystems if discharged untreated (Khlaifat *et al*., 2024) [33]. The management of produced water presents technical and operational challenges with global production was estimated at 250 million barrels per day in 2020 (Waly *et al*., 2020). Untreated discharge alters water chemistry, disrupts aquatic organisms and introduces contaminants into ecosystems (Rajasulochana & Preethy, 2016) [54]. Treatment strategies include physical processes such as filtration and adsorption, chemical techniques like precipitation and oxidation and biological methods including activated sludge and biological aerated filters (Rajasulochana & Preethy, 2016) [54]. Selection of treatment technologies depends on water quality, energy requirements, plant capacity, local regulations and intended reuse applications. Environmental risks from hydrocarbon exploration extend beyond wastewater management. Oil spills contaminate soil and water resources, affecting agricultural and fisheries productivity, as well as community livelihoods (Ite *et al*., 2013) [30]. Infrastructure development often leads to deforestation, habitat fragmentation and land subsidence. These impacts have increased scrutiny of oil and gas operations under environmental governance and international climate agreements.

In Nigeria, since the 1970s, over 80% of government revenue and 90% of export earnings derived from petroleum activities (Biodun, 2004) [9]. The Niger Delta region, home to more than 80% of national hydrocarbon reserves, has experienced extensive pollution from oil spills, gas flaring, illegal refining and waste discharge (Aniefiok *et al*., 2013) [6]. Environmental degradation has affected soil fertility, water resources, food systems and human health (Aniefiok *et al*., 2013 [6]). Weak regulatory oversight, growing population pressures and limited infrastructure have exacerbated these impacts. Frameworks linking economic activity with environmental and social outcomes provide guidance for managing oil and gas operations. International commitments such as the Paris Agreement, the Doha Protocol and the Sustainable Development Goals have increased expectations for reducing emissions and improving waste handling (Shahbaz *et al*., 2023) [58]. Recycling and reuse offer pathways for achieving these objectives by recovering materials from drilling fluids, produced water, cuttings and residual hydrocarbons for further applications (Plastinina *et al*., 2019) [52]. Treated produced water can be applied for irrigation, industrial processes, or reinjection into reservoirs, reducing demand for freshwater (Khlaifat *et al*., 2024) [33]. Brine residues can be used in potash production to support agriculture (Khlaifat *et al*., 2020) [32]. Circular economy approaches reinforce material recovery and minimize waste, framing by-products as resources rather than disposal liabilities, examples in oil and gas operations which reuse drilling fluids, recycling of salts and sulfur compounds and energy recovery from waste streams (Alsuhaibany, 2025) [42].

Research in industrial wastewater and biosolids in water security, food production and soil management revealed that produced water reuse offers environmental and operational benefits in oil-producing regions (Lahlou *et al*., 2021) [34]. The transition from linear extraction-disposal models to circular resource management addresses the long-term consequences of fossil fuel reliance, contribute to resource depletion, waste accumulation and climate-related impacts. Circular economy, formalized in the mid-twentieth century, emphasizes resource retention, waste recovery and regeneration (UNDP, 2019) [31]. Economic analysis demonstrates benefits of recycling and reuse where treated water can replace freshwater in industrial applications, drilling residues can be converted to construction materials and solvents can be recovered for refinery operations (Gaudencio, Oliveira & Curi, 2020) [20]. These measures enhance supply chain resilience, create employment and generate revenue from waste streams (Onukwulu *et al*., 2021) [47], extend producer responsibility and materials management practices such as retreading support further resource recovery (Harrison-Obi, 2019) [31] while improper waste management causes soil and water contamination and respiratory hazards for nearby populations (Eweka *et al*., 2022) [16].

Regulatory frameworks, financial incentives and participatory governance encourage recycling and reuse and alignment with SDG 12 enables measurement of environmental performance and resource efficiency (Ismail, Rahman & Hezabr, 2018) [29]. In Nigeria, the Niger Delta case exemplifies the balance between production and environmental stewardship where oil spills, gas flaring and poor waste management affect ecosystems and communities, yet circular approaches mitigate these impacts (Bebeteidoh *et al*., 2020) [7]. Collaboration among industry, government and communities improves resource recovery, reduces hazard exposure and enhances social welfare. Recycling and reuse align Nigerian hydrocarbon sector with environmental obligations. Circular economy practices recover resources, reduce ecological damage and create economic value (Rizos *et al*., 2016) [56]. Waste valorization, digital tracking and technological treatment improve operational efficiency and regulatory compliance. Circular economy principles, technology and governance enable compliance, social acceptance and resource sustainability (Eweka *et al*., 2022) [16]. Training, stakeholder engagement and collaboration support widespread implementation. Resource recovery transforms waste management into value creation. Produced water, drilling residues and hydrocarbons can serve irrigation, construction and chemical needs (Stahel, 2016) [60]. Circular practices enhance efficiency, improve environmental quality and generate economic benefits while supporting SDG 12 (Ajayi *et al*., 2021) [2]. This study therefore present advanced sustainable hydrocarbon operations in Nigeria using AI-driven smart oilfield analytics and circular resource recovery as enabling technologies.

Waste Generation in Hydrocarbon Exploration

Hydrocarbon exploration produces diverse wastes such as solid, liquid and chemical residues. Waste streams consist of drilling fluids, produced water, drill cuttings and chemical additives used during extraction, stimulation and refining processes (Eweka *et al*., 2022) [16]. The volume and composition of these wastes vary according to reservoir characteristics, extraction methods, geological formations and the chemical formulations of drilling and fracturing fluids (Cao *et al*., 2021) [10]. Improper disposal of these materials has environmental and health implications such as soil degradation, water contamination, air pollution and toxicity to aquatic and terrestrial organisms. Drilling fluids, also known as drilling muds, are used to lubricate and stabilize wells, carry drill cuttings to the surface and maintain pressure. They often contain oil-based components, polymers and weighting agents. If discharged untreated, these fluids contaminate soil and surface water systems (Ajisafe *et al*., 2024) [5]. Drill cuttings, produced during rock removal, are commonly coated with residual drilling fluids and contain heavy metals and hydrocarbons, increasing their ecological and human health risks (Njuguna *et al*., 2022) [38]. Produced water (PW) constitutes the largest liquid waste stream in hydrocarbon operations. It is a mixture of formation water, saline water brought to the surface, injection water, hydrocarbons and chemical additives used during separation processes (Olajire, 2020) [44]. Its composition depends on geological formation, reservoir age, location and production techniques. PW typically contains dissolved and dispersed oil, solids, benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, xylenes (BTEX), polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), phenols, aliphatic hydrocarbons, carboxylic acids and additives such as glycol, methanol and polyelectrolytes (Surkatti *et al*., 2020) [61]. Minerals include heavy metals, radioactive elements (Radium-226 and Radium-228) and anions and cations such as sodium, ferric ions, chlorine, sulfate, sulfite, carbonate, calcium, magnesium, potassium, barium, strontium and lithium (Shahbaz *et al*., 2023) [58].

Produced water can also contain recoverable resources such as organic carbon, nutrients and inorganic compounds, which be reused in agriculture or biochemical applications (Shahbaz *et al*., 2023; Lahlou *et al*., 2021) [34, 58]. Treatment methods include hydrocyclones, membrane filtration, adsorption, coagulation and bioremediation. Refining processes generate spent catalysts, sludges and sulfur compounds containing recoverable metals such as vanadium and molybdenum (Liang *et al*., 2022) [35]. Infrastructure decommissioning contributes scrap metals, plastics and insulation materials. Improper disposal of solid and chemical wastes contaminates soil and water, disrupts ecosystems and poses occupational hazards (Ordinioha & Brisibe, 2013) [49]. Gas flaring from oil fields produces emissions that reduce crop yields and affect plant growth. Observed losses include 100% of crops within 200 m of flare sites, 45% at 600 m and 10% at 1000 m (Ukegbu & Okeke, 1987) [64]. Flaring emissions negatively affect flowering, plant growth and agricultural productivity (Ejuwa, 2005) [12]. Greenhouse gas emissions from hydrocarbon activities influence life expectancy and reduce agricultural outputs.

Oil spills are caused by corrosion, sabotage, equipment failure, inadequate maintenance and loading errors. Oil pollution reduces agricultural productivity and fish yields in affected areas. Between 2000 and 2005, 6,817 oil spills released approximately three million barrels of oil in the Niger Delta, with 25% occurring in swamps and 69% offshore (Onuoha *et al*., 2018) [48]. Over 2,000 oil-polluted sites have been recorded in the region, affecting soil, water and local livelihoods (Ite *et al*., 2013) [30]. Current hydrocarbon waste handling in Nigeria relies mainly on landfilling, open pits, or river discharge, with limited recycling or reuse (Ogunmakinde, Sher & Maund, 2019) [41]. Conventional practices often neglect recovery opportunities, creating persistent environmental hazards. Waste management frameworks emphasize reducing waste generation, reusing materials, recycling components, recovering resources and disposing of residuals safely (Foerstl *et al*., 2014) [18]. Circular economy models extend these principles, enabling the reuse of drilling fluids, recovery of hydrocarbons from waste streams and integration of treated water and biosolids into productive applications (Lahlou *et al*., 2021) [34].

Exploration and production wastes contain substances that directly affect environmental quality and human health. Drilling muds and cuttings can leach hydrocarbons and heavy metals into soil and groundwater, while produced water contains salts, hydrocarbons, heavy metals and chemical additives that affect aquatic life (Lu *et al*., 2021). Petroleum-derived wastes generate volatile organic compounds during landfarming or unlined pit disposal, which pose respiratory and carcinogenic risks to nearby communities. Chemical additives and residual solids from hydrocarbon operations contain recoverable elements that can offset treatment costs. These include organic carbon, nutrients and inorganics such as calcium, magnesium, chloride, potassium, barium, strontium and lithium (Shahbaz *et al*., 2023) [58]. Hydrocarbon exploration produces diverse waste streams that interact with socio-economic and ecological systems.

Technological Innovations for Recycling and Reuse

Hydrocarbon production developed through intensive fossil fuel extraction designed to meet rising energy demand and expand national revenues. Conventional drilling and production practices generated greenhouse gas emissions, contaminated surface and groundwater systems and altered terrestrial environments (Watts, 2019) [70]. In Countries like Nigeria, petroleum activities concentrated in the Niger Delta have supplied more than three-quarters of national government revenue (Ringim, 2021) [55]. Economic returns have coexisted with oil spills, gas flaring, vegetation loss and adverse health conditions in host communities (Bebeteidoh *et al*., 2020) [7]. Before the discovery of commercial oil in 1958, agriculture dominated regional livelihoods; hydrocarbon exploitation displaced farming systems and reshaped the local economy (Onuoha, Ebong & Ufomba, 2018) [48]. Associated natural gas produced during crude oil extraction has been flared due to limited gas utilization infrastructure. Nigeria accounts for a considerable share of global gas flaring emissions (Elenwo & Akankali, 2014) [13]. Flaring releases carbon dioxide, methane, nitrogen oxides and particulate matter, contributing to atmospheric pollution and respiratory illness. Gas to Liquid (GTL) technology converts flared gas into synthetic diesel and related products, reducing direct atmospheric discharge while generating commercial value (Osuoha & Fakutiju, 2017) [50].

Carbon management initiatives in other jurisdictions demonstrate additional mitigation pathways. The Sleipner CO_2 injection project in Norway stores carbon dioxide in deep saline formations beneath the North Sea. Boundary Dam Carbon Capture and Storage in Canada retrofits coal-fired power generation with capture technology. Petra Nova at the W.A. Parish facility in the United States applied post-combustion capture to reduce power plant emissions. These projects demonstrate carbon capture and storage deployment in large-scale energy systems (Petra Nova - W.A. Parish Project, 2023) [51]. European Union policies such as the European Green Deal and circular economy action plans establish emission control targets, waste recovery standards and producer responsibility requirements (European Commission, 2023) [15]. International instruments such as the Paris Agreement and the Kyoto Protocol call for greenhouse gas reduction and low-carbon development pathways (UNFCCC, 2015). United Nations Sustainable Development Goal 12 promotes responsible production and consumption, encouraging cleaner technologies and material recovery (Foerstl *et al*., 2014) [18]. Extended producer responsibility programs applied in Europe allocate post-consumer waste obligations to manufacturers of tires, batteries and electronic equipment (OECD, 2020). Proposals for Nigeria recommend Producer Responsibility Organizations and fiscal recovery schemes for end-of-life tires and related waste streams (Harrison-Obi, 2019) [22].

Technological development in exploration and production has progressed through advanced geophysical and drilling systems. Three-dimensional and four-dimensional seismic surveys enhance reservoir characterization and improve recovery planning (Dell'Aversana, 2023) [11]. Machine learning applications assist subsurface interpretation and reduce uncertainty in reservoir modeling. Deepwater drilling technologies enable extraction from offshore formations previously inaccessible. Hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling increase contact with reservoir rock and raise production rates; these methods require careful management of water consumption, chemical additives and waste streams (Cao *et al*., 2021) [10]. Solid and liquid waste streams generated during drilling and production include drill cuttings, drilling muds, oil sludge, produced water and flue gases (Shahbaz *et al*., 2023) [58]. Treatment and recycling technologies target resource recovery while reducing environmental discharge. Thermal desorption separates hydrocarbons from drill cuttings through controlled heating. Recovered hydrocarbons re-enter refining or industrial use, while treated solids meet disposal standards or serve non-industrial applications (Gilbert, Nwachukwu & Uzoije, 2018) [21]. Centrifugation divides liquid and solid phases in drilling fluids, enabling fluid reuse in subsequent drilling cycles and reducing demand for fresh inputs (Cao *et al*., 2021) [10]. Filtration systems remove suspended solids and residual oil, maintaining fluid quality for recirculation (Okeke, 2021) [2].

Produced water constitutes the largest waste stream by volume in hydrocarbon operations. Treatment options include membrane filtration, reverse osmosis, bioremediation, electrocoagulation and flash vaporization (Hartmann *et al*., 2021) [23]. Membrane processes remove suspended solids and dissolved salts, producing water suitable for reinjection, drilling operations, aquaculture and selected agricultural uses (Lahlou *et al*., 2021) [34]. Ultrafiltration and reverse osmosis units reduce salinity and hydrocarbon concentration to meet industrial reuse requirements (Hashemi *et al*., 2020) [24]. Bioremediation employs microbial consortia to degrade residual organic contaminants and lower toxicity in treated effluent. Electrocoagulation enhances removal of heavy metals and oil residues, while flash vaporization concentrates brines for recovery of salts and potash (Khlaifat *et al*., 2020) [32]. These processes extend water utility and reduce freshwater withdrawal (Khlaifat *et al*., 2024) [33]. Chemical recovery techniques extract value from drilling residues and wastewater streams. Solvent extraction isolates hydrocarbons from drill cuttings and residual muds for recycling or refining. Adsorption using activated carbon and nanomaterials captures dissolved organics and chemical additives from produced water, improving effluent quality (Shahbaz *et al*., 2023) [58]. Catalytic conversion transforms residual hydrocarbons into fuels or chemical feedstocks, reducing waste volumes and supporting material recirculation in production systems (Amanullah, 2016) [4]. Solid-liquid separation combined with adsorption and solvent extraction enables reclamation of trace metals and chemical additives from drilling fluids.

Artificial Intelligence applications process real-time data from sensors installed at drilling sites and treatment facilities. IoT-based monitoring tracks chemical concentrations, flow rates and contamination levels during separation and recycling operations (Onukwulu *et al*., 2021) [46]. Predictive models estimate waste generation patterns and schedule treatment cycles to reduce disposal volumes. Automated control systems regulate filtration, centrifugation and recovery units, maintaining consistent output quality (Hashemi *et al*., 2025) [25]. Digital monitoring supports compliance with emission standards and reporting obligations. Green hydraulic fracturing fluids replace conventional additives with lower-toxicity formulations. Bio-based lost circulation materials such as date seed-derived plugs, limit introduction of persistent substances into wellbores (Amanullah, 2016) [4]. Surfactant-nanoparticle hybrid fluids and polyelectrolyte complex-stabilized foams enhance hydrocarbon and water recovery while reducing chemical dosage (Dell'Aversana, 2023) [11]. Closed-loop water systems recirculate drilling fluids and minimize discharge (Ismail, Rahman & Hezabr, 2018) [29]. Fluid recycling and treatment networks reduce extraction of new water resources and decrease disposal requirements (Onukwulu *et al*., 2021) [46].

Industrial experience from Germany, the United States and Canada demonstrate high recovery rates for industrial wastes under circular economy policies (Wang & Li, 2011) [69]. Hydrocarbon firms in Saudi Arabia and Qatar have introduced water recovery systems and resource recirculation programs to limit operational waste (Eltayeb, 2025) [42]. Corporate initiatives by Equinor, Shell, BP and ExxonMobil incorporate emission reduction technologies, digital monitoring and renewable energy investments in production activities (Jørgensen, Karakostas & Kolk, 2019) [31]. Circular economy application in automotive and petrochemical industries records improvements in material recovery and resource efficiency. Similar approaches in hydrocarbon exploration combine waste minimization, chemical recovery, water reuse and carbon management. Cleaner production techniques, renewable energy integration and emission monitoring respond to regulatory obligations and market pressures (Stahel, 2016) [60]. Adoption of recovery technologies transforms drill cuttings, sludge, wastewater and flared gas into secondary resources. Carbon capture projects reduce atmospheric emissions from power generation and processing facilities. Gas conversion systems generate synthetic fuels from associated gas streams. Membrane filtration, adsorption, solvent extraction and catalytic treatment recover hydrocarbons and chemicals for re-entry into production cycles.

Economic Impacts of Recycling Technologies

Recycling and reuse technologies in hydrocarbon operations create measurable economic outcomes by reducing disposal costs and generating revenue from recovered materials. The application of such technologies has been observed in oil and gas operations to recover hydrocarbons, metals and produced water, yielding both operational savings and new income streams. Cost-benefit analyses reveal that the recovery of materials such as potash and salts, reduces reliance on conventional extraction while offering alternative sources of revenue (Ojo & Oludolapo, 2025) [42]. Recovered hydrocarbons and chemicals represent potential financial returns that can offset operational expenses associated with traditional waste management. Economic incentives and policy measures influence the adoption of recycling and reuse practices. National regulations, environmental compliance credits and tax incentives encourage companies to integrate circular approaches within their operational models. These measures support investments in waste treatment technologies such as thermal desorption, centrifugation and membrane filtration, which enable the extraction of valuable hydrocarbons and other materials from waste streams (Cao *et al*., 2021) [10]. The reuse of drilling fluids, flared gas and produced water mitigates disposal requirements while generating revenue from recovered products (Elenwo & Akankali, 2014; Osuoha & Fakutiju, 2017) [13, 50].

Comparative analyses indicate that conventional disposal practices such as landfilling and open-pit storage, incur substantial costs related to environmental remediation, regulatory compliance and potential legal liabilities. Recycling and reuse reduce these expenses and create financial advantages through secondary material sales and increased energy efficiency (Amanullah, 2016) [4]. The use of eco-friendly drilling additives and particulate lost circulation materials reduces chemical consumption, preserves formation integrity and supports repeated utilization of drilling fluids (Ajisafe *et al*., 2024) [5]. These outcomes translate into measurable operational cost reductions and improved resource utilization. Economic evaluations demonstrate that recycling and reuse technologies enhance profitability while aligning with corporate sustainability objectives. Integration of lifecycle assessments, life cycle costing, cost-benefit analysis and sustainability indices highlights potential savings of up to 30% in lifecycle expenses and reductions of 25-40% in environmental impacts (Sanchez-Rosario & Hildenbrand, 2022) [57]. Recovering metals, organics and water from hydrocarbon wastes can provide recurring revenue streams, reducing dependency on primary raw materials and mitigating volatility in procurement costs (Adiela & Agiobenebo, 2021) [1].

Digital tools such as Internet of Things-enabled monitoring systems and predictive analytics improve operational efficiency and decision-making in recycling processes (Ajayi, *et al*., 2021) [2]. By tracking material flows in real time and forecasting resource availability, these systems reduce waste, enhance recovery rates and support justifiable investments in sustainable infrastructure. AI-driven supply chain analytics identify cost-saving opportunities, minimize downtime and improve planning for reuse operations (Ajayi *et al*., 2021; Onukwulu *et al*., 2021) [2, 46]. Cost-benefit assessments illustrate that recovered materials, such as hydrocarbons, potash and salts, contribute directly to company revenues while reducing operational expenditures. Tax incentives, compliance advantages and other policy-based rewards further strengthen the economic rationale for adopting circular practices in hydrocarbon operations (OECD, 2020).

In Nigerian oil operations, the sector remains a primary source of foreign exchange (Aniefiok *et al*., 2013) [49]. Petroleum exploration and production, however, generate ecological and economic burdens that affect local communities. Investment in recycling and reuse technologies offers mitigation of these externalities by reducing disposal requirements, generating revenue from recovered materials and complying with environmental regulations (Okeke, 2021) [43]. Sustainable reuse practices align with corporate social responsibility initiatives, producing cost savings while supporting community development objectives (Hartmann *et al*., 2021) [23]. Evidence from multiple hydrocarbon operations demonstrates that resource recovery exceeds conventional waste disposal in profitability. Feasibility analyses such as assessment of capital and operating costs, payback periods and net present value, show that implementation of large-scale recycling strategies produces measurable financial returns (Shahbaz *et al*., 2023) [58]. Recovered metals, organics and water serve as additional revenue sources while reducing the environmental footprint of hydrocarbon operations. Comparative studies consistently report superior outcomes for circular approaches relative to traditional disposal, both in cost efficiency and long-term resilience (Plastinina *et al*., 2019) [52].

Economic incentives such as tax relief, green financing mechanisms and sustainability-linked subsidies, further encourage adoption of recycling and reuse strategies. Firms that implement these practices benefit from reduced disposal costs, new revenue streams from recovered materials and enhanced competitive positioning in environmentally regulated markets (Ferronato *et al*., 2019) [17]. The combination of operational savings and policy-driven rewards strengthens the business case for recycling, creating a pathway for economically sustainable hydrocarbon exploration. In addition, implementation of advanced waste treatment technologies such as centrifugation, thermal desorption and membrane filtration enables the separation of valuable hydrocarbons and chemicals for reuse, reducing the need for fresh resource inputs and lowering overall production costs (Amanullah, 2016; Cao *et al*., 2021) [4, 10]. By decreasing the volume of waste requiring disposal and providing marketable secondary materials, these methods generate immediate financial returns while reducing environmental liability.

Revenue streams from recovered materials such as hydrocarbons, chemicals, salts and metals, have been quantified to deliver meaningful contributions to company profitability. Lifecycle analysis demonstrates that investments in recycling technologies produce measurable financial and environmental benefits, supporting long-term operational sustainability (Sanchez-Rosario & Hildenbrand, 2022) [57]. Evidence also indicates that circular practices reduce dependence on external raw materials, mitigating price volatility and securing resource availability for future operations (Rizos *et al*., 2016) [56]. Collectively, these findings confirm that recycling and reuse technologies in hydrocarbon operations provide tangible economic value. Cost savings from reduced disposal, revenue from recovered materials and policy-based incentives create a favorable business environment. Implementing resource recovery approaches surpasses conventional disposal in profitability, operational efficiency and alignment with regulatory requirements (Van Opstal & Smeets, 2023) [67]. These technologies contribute to the financial resilience of hydrocarbon operations while promoting compliance with sustainability objectives (Hartmann *et al*., 2021) [23].

Barriers to Recycling During Hydrocarbon Exploration

Barriers to recycling and reuse in hydrocarbon exploration encounters multiple barriers encompassing technical, economic, workforce, organizational and cultural dimensions. Technical limitations affect the scalability and efficiency of treatment technologies, such as water recovery systems, thermal desorption units and solvent recovery processes (Ochulor, Sofoluwe, Ukato & Jambol, 2024) [39]. Produced water composition varies between wells and reservoir types, which complicates the design of uniform recycling solutions (Cao *et al*., 2021) [10]. Data quality issues and uncertainties in process optimization also reduce the effectiveness of recycling technologies. In developing economies, the availability of advanced treatment technologies is limited, which constrains adoption (Ferronato *et al*., 2019) [17]. Small and medium enterprises, in particular, face difficulties in accessing equipment that can handle the variability of hydrocarbon wastes. Scalability of pilot technologies to full operational scale remains a persistent challenge (Onita & Ochulor, 2024) [39].

Economic barriers are closely linked to technical limitations. The capital requirements for installation of recycling units and treatment systems are high, often preventing widespread adoption (Sofoluwe *et al*., 2024) [39]. Operational costs such as energy consumption, maintenance and chemical inputs, further constrain feasibility for operators. Financing mechanisms for green technology deployment are often unavailable, particularly in regions where government incentives and policy support are weak (Ringim, 2021) [55]. In addition, uncertainty regarding return on investment discourages companies from pursuing advanced recycling technologies. Knowledge gaps and workforce training deficiencies affect the implementation of circular practices. Skilled personnel are required for handling water treatment processes, chemical recovery operations and environmentally sound drilling practices, yet such expertise is limited in many hydrocarbon-producing regions (Onukwulu *et al*., 2021) [46]. Workforce training must also cover regulatory compliance, process monitoring and equipment maintenance to ensure consistent performance (Waly *et al*., 2020).

Organizational resistance is another barrier. Firms lack commitment from leadership, have fragmented supply chains, or exhibit reluctance to change established practices (Foerstl, *et al*., 2014) [18]. Cultural norms in companies and local communities can inhibit adoption, particularly when environmental practices are perceived as secondary to cost reduction or production targets (TotalEnergies, 2021). Conflicts between corporations and host communities exacerbate resistance to new initiatives, as community mistrust affects project acceptance. In regions with weak governance, regulatory enforcement is often inconsistent, further discouraging firms from investing in sustainable technologies (Ismail, Rahman & Hezabr, 2018) [29]. Policy and institutional barriers intensify technical and organizational challenges. Weak policy frameworks, limited government incentives and inadequate regulatory oversight reduce motivation for firms to adopt circular practices (Ringim, 2021) [55]. Inconsistent environmental regulation lead operators to prioritize short-term gains over long-term sustainability (Ite *et al*., 2013) [30]. Regulatory gaps limit access to financing for green technologies and prevent uniform standards for recycling and reuse practices, creating further uncertainty for operators (Onukwulu, Agho & Eyo-Udo, 2021) [46]. Geographical and environmental variability in hydrocarbon operations introduces additional complexity. Differences in reservoir characteristics, operational environments and waste compositions require site-specific solutions rather than one-size-fits-all approaches (Cao *et al*., 2021) [10]. Designing flexible and adaptive systems adds to costs and requires specialized expertise (Watts, 2019) [70].

Operational heterogeneity such as fluctuations in production rates and variations in chemical composition of waste streams, increases the technical difficulty of standardizing recycling processes (Gilbert, Nwachukwu & Uzoije, 2018) [21]. Cultural and social barriers limit adoption of sustainable practices beyond the technical and financial realms. Organizational culture such as resistance to change and adherence to conventional waste disposal methods, slows adoption (Hoang *et al*., 2022) [26]. In certain regions, traditional practices and skepticism toward external intervention by Corporations conflict with sustainability initiatives (Udok & Akpan, 2017) [63]. Addressing these barriers requires coordinated strategies in research, policy, training and collaboration. Investment in workforce development such as technical training in water treatment and chemical recovery, can improve operational efficiency (Onukwulu *et al*., 2021) [46]. Financing mechanisms, such as grants, green loans and tax incentives, can mitigate economic constraints. Strengthening institutional frameworks and enforcing environmental regulations can increase compliance and encourage investment in sustainable practices (Ismail *et al*., 2018) [29]. Collaborative projects between governments, industry and academic institutions can accelerate technology adoption and adapt solutions to local contexts (Hartmann *et al*., 2021) [23].

Conclusion

Smart oilfield analytics provides opportunities to improve hydrocarbon operations through better monitoring, predictive maintenance and reduced environmental impacts. AI-fuzzy systems, geophysical technologies and real-time data analysis support early detection of equipment problems, improved production control and reduced operational losses. The integration of renewable energy systems such as solar and wind technologies, lowers emissions and reduces dependence on conventional energy sources in field operations. Resource recovery methods such as chemical extraction, solvent recycling and water treatment convert waste streams into valuable materials while reducing ecological damage. Knowledge sharing, workforce training and collaboration among industry stakeholders can improve technology adoption and strengthen sustainable practices. The combination of digital monitoring, renewable energy and circular resource management supports efficient hydrocarbon production while addressing environmental concerns. These approaches provide a pathway for balancing energy supply, operational performance and long-term environmental responsibility in oil-producing regions.

Recommendations

1. Oil and gas companies should invest in treatment systems that can handle variations in produced water, drilling waste and other hydrocarbon residues. Research and development efforts should focus on improving water recovery units, thermal treatment systems and solvent recovery methods that can operate under different field conditions. Pilot projects should be tested under real production environments before full deployment to reduce technology failure risks.
2. High installation and maintenance costs limit the adoption of recycling technologies by many operators. Governments, financial institutions and industry partners should establish funding schemes such as low-interest loans, tax reductions and environmental grants to support recycling projects. Financial assistance can reduce the burden of initial investment and encourage companies to adopt waste recovery practices.
3. Hydrocarbon companies should establish regular training programmes for engineers, technicians and environmental personnel involved in waste management activities. Training should cover produced water treatment, equipment maintenance, monitoring procedures and environmental compliance requirements. Building technical capacity will improve

the management of recycling facilities and reduce failures caused by inadequate skills.

4. Regulatory agencies should develop clear requirements for recycling and reuse of hydrocarbon waste materials. Monitoring systems should be strengthened to ensure that companies comply with waste reduction targets and environmental standards. Effective enforcement, combined with incentives for responsible operators, can encourage wider adoption of recycling practices within the oil and gas industry.
5. Partnerships between oil companies, universities, technology developers and government agencies should be encouraged to develop solutions suitable for local operating conditions. Joint research programmes can support the development of affordable treatment methods and provide technical support for companies facing recycling challenges. Knowledge sharing among stakeholders can also improve the adoption of successful recycling approaches.
6. Oil and gas companies should create environmental awareness programmes that promote recycling among employees, contractors and host communities. Management teams should include waste recovery goals in company policies and encourage employees to support sustainable practices. Engagement with local communities can reduce mistrust and improve acceptance of recycling projects associated with hydrocarbon exploration activities.

References

1. Adiela UP, Agiobenebo TJ. Analysis of Energy Consumption and Economic Growth in Nigeria: The Renewable and Non-Renewable Energy Perspectives. Confluence Journal of Economics and Allied Sciences,2021:4(1):221–236.
2. Ajayi AJ, Akhigbe EE, Egbuhuzor NS, Agbede OO. Bridging data and decision-making: AI-enabled analytics for project management in oil and gas infrastructure. Int J Multidiscip Res Growth Eval,2021:2(1):567–580. https://doi.org/10.54660/.IJMRGE.2021.2.1.567-580
3. Alsuhaibany Y. Digital Innovation and Circular Economy: A Nexus for Sustainable Oil and Gas Sector Transformation in Saudi Arabia. Sustainability,2025:17:1325.
4. Amanullah M. Characteristics, behavior and performance of ARC Plug: A date seed-based sized particulate LCM. In SPE Kingdom of Saudi Arabia Annual Technical Symposium and Exhibition, Dammam, Saudi Arabia. Society of Petroleum Engineers, 2016. https://doi.org/10.2118/182840-MS.
5. Ajisafe YC, Towoju VO, Ogunrinde DE, Folorunso OE, Omoyemi OV. Structural and stratigraphic reservoir characterization of "MONI" field, Niger Delta. Earth Science, 2024,104–119.
6. Aniefiok EI, Udo JI, Margaret UI, Sunday WP. Petroleum exploration and production: Past and present environmental issues in Nigeria's Niger Delta. American Journal of Environmental Protection,2013:1(4):78–90.
7. Bebeteidoh OL, Kometa S, Pazouki K, Norman R. Sustained impact of the activities of local crude oil refiners on their host communities in Nigeria. Heliyon,2020:6(1):1–9.
8. Beyer J, Goksøyr A, Hjermann DØ, Klungsøyr J. Environmental effects of offshore produced water discharges: A review focused on the Norwegian continental shelf. Marine Environmental Research,2020:162:105155. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marenvres.2020.105155
9. Biodun A. The Impact of Oil on Nigeria's economic policy formulation. Conference: Overseas Development Institute/Nigerian Economic Summit Group,2004:1–22. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/237470277_The_impact_of_oil_on_Nigeria's_economic_policy_formulation
10. Cao X, Shi Y, Li W, Zeng P, Zheng Z, Feng Y, *et al*. Comparative studies on hydraulic fracturing fluids for high-temperature and high-salt oil reservoirs: Synthetic polymer versus guar gum. ACS Omega,2021:6(39):25421–25429. https://doi.org/10.1021/acsomega.1c03394
11. Dell'Aversana P. An integrated deep learning framework for classification of mineral thin sections and other geo-data: A tutorial. Applied Sciences,2023:13(5):2784. https://doi.org/10.3390/app13052784
12. Ejuwa GA. Saving the Niger Delta from oil pollution, 2005. http://www.vanguardngr.com/articles/2002/viewpointsvp207042005.html
13. Elenwo E, Akankali J. Environmental Policies and Strategies in Nigeria Oil and Gas Industry: Gains, Challenges and Prospects. Natural Resources,2014:5(1):884–896.
14. Eltayeb A. Saudi Aramco: Circular Economy and Sustainability in the Oil and Gas Industry. Glob. J. Hum. Soc. Sci.,2025:25:33–35. https://socialscienceresearch.org/index.php/GJHSS/article/view/104360
15. European Commission. Circular economy action plan, 2023. https://environment.ec.europa.eu/strategy/circular-economy-action-plan_en
16. Eweka EE, Lopez-Arroyo E, Medupin CO, Oladipo A, Campos LC. Energy Landscape and Renewable Energy Resources in Nigeria: A Review. Energies,2022:15(15):5514. https://doi.org/10.3390/en15155514
17. Ferronato N, Rada EC, Portillo MAG, Cioca LI, Ragazzi M, Torrenta V. Introduction of the circular economy within developing regions: A comparative analysis of advantages and opportunities for waste valorization. J. Environ. Manag.,2019:230:366–378. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman,2018:09:077
18. Foerstl K, Azadegan A, Leppelt T, Hartmann E. Drivers of supplier sustainability: Moving beyond compliance to commitment. J Supply Chain Manag,2014:51(1):67–92. https://doi.org/10.1111/jscm.12067
19. Gardas BB, Raut RD, Narkhede B. Determinants of sustainable supply chain management: A case study from the oil and gas supply chain. Sustainable Production and Consumption,2019:17:241–253. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.spc,2018:10:007
20. Gaudencio L, Oliveira R, Curi W. Sustainability indicators system based on multicriteria analysis: A tool for the management of the sustainability of offshore oil and gas production units. Integr Environ Assess

Manag,2020:17(3):614–625. https://doi.org/10.1002/ieam.4359
21. Gilbert L, Nwachukwu M, Uzoije A. A Review of Petroleum Waste Management and Environmental Quality Status of Niger Delta. Advance in Environmental Waste Management & Recycling,2018:1(1):1–8.
22. Harrison-Obi T. Environmental impact of end-of-life tyre (ELT) or scrap tyre waste pollution and the need for sustainable waste tyre disposal and transformation mechanism in Nigeria. NAUJILJ,2019:10:60–70.
23. Hartmann J, Inkpen AC, Ramaswamy K. Different shades of green: Global oil and gas companies and renewable energy. Journal of International Business Studies,2021:52:879–903.
24. Hashemi F, Hashemi H, Shahbazi M, Dehghani M, Hoseini M, Shafeie A. Reclamation of real oil refinery effluent as makeup water in cooling towers using ultrafiltration, ion exchange and multioxidant disinfectant. Water Resources and Industry,2020:23:100123. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wri.2020.100123
25. Hashemi F, Young S, Hashemi H, Leiviskä T, Shafea L, Hajivand M, *et al*. Water Stewardship in Oil & Gas: Recycling Produced and ProcessWater. In Occidental Petroleum Sustainability Report 2025. Oxy: Houston, TX, USA, 2025,58–59.
26. Hoang AT, Varbanov PS, Nižetić S, Sirohi R, Pandey A, Luque R, *et al*. Perspective review on municipal solid waste-to-energy route: Characteristics, management strategy and role in circular economy. Journal of Cleaner Production,2022:359:131897.
27. Inayat A, Inayat M, Shahbaz M, Sulaiman SA, Raza M, Yusup S. Parametric analysis and optimization for the catalytic air gasification of palm kernel shell using coal bottom ash as catalyst. Renewable Energy,2020:145:671–681.
28. International Energy Agency (IEA). World Energy Outlook 2023, 2023. https://www.iea.org/reports/world-energyoutlook-2023
29. Ismail A, Rahman A, Hezabr A. Determinants of corporate environmental disclosure quality of oil and gas industry in developing countries. Int J Ethics Syst,2018:34(4):527–563. https://doi.org/10.1108/ijoes-03-2018-0042
30. Ite AE, Ibok UJ, Ite MU, Petters SW. Petroleum exploration and production: Past and present environmental issues in the Nigeria's Niger Delta. American Journal of Environmental Protection,2013:1(4):78–90. https://doi.org/10.12691/env-1-4-2
31. Jørgensen A, Karakostas G, Kolk A. Resource efficiency and circular economy: The case of Equinor’s waste management practices. Resources, Conservation & Recycling,2019:146:462–471. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resconrec.2019.03.032
32. Khlaifat A, Batarseh M, Nawayseh K, Amira J, Talafeha E. Mixing of Dead Sea and Red SeaWaters and Changes in their Physical Properties. Heliyon,2020:6:05444.
33. Khlaifat A, Fakher S, Hassanin A, Elsese M, Nour AA. High-salinity produced water treatment and desalination. LHB: Hydroscience Journal,2024:109(1): 2284957. https://doi.org/10.1080/27678490.2023.2284957.
34. Lahlou FZ, Mackey HR, McKay G, Al-Ansari T. Reuse of treated industrial wastewater and bio-solids from oil and gas industries: Exploring new factors of public acceptance. Water Resources and Industry,2021:26:100159. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wri.2021.100159
35. Liang X, Tang J, Li L, Wu Y, Sun YA. A review of metallurgical processes and purification techniques for recovering Mo, V, Ni, Co, Al from spent catalysts. Journal of Cleaner Production,2022:376:134108. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2022.134108.
36. Mariyam S, Shahbaz M, Al-Ansari T, Mackey HR, McKay G. A critical review on co-gasification and co-pyrolysis for gas production. Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews,2022:161:112349.
37. Miranda MA, Ghosh A, Mahmodi G, Xie S, Shaw M, Kim S, *et al*. Treatment and recovery of high-value elements from produced water. Water,2022:14:880. https://doi.org/10.3390/w14050880
38. Njuguna J, Siddique S, Kwroffie LB, Piromrat S, Addae-Afoakwa K, Ekeh-Adegbotolu U, *et al*. The fate of waste drilling fluids from oil & gas industry activities in the exploration and production operations. Waste Management,2022:139:362–380.
39. Ochulor OJ, Sofoluwe OO, Ukato A, Jambol DD. Technological innovations and optimized work methods in subsea maintenance and production. Engineering Science & Technology Journal,2024:5(5):1627–1642.
40. OECD. Getting the governance of the circular economy right: Checklist for Action and Scoreboard. OECD Publishing, 2020. https://doi.org/10.1787/724e5c45-en
41. Ogunmakinde OE, Sher W, Maund K. An assessment of material waste disposal methods in the Nigerian construction industry. Recycling,2019:4:13. https://doi.org/10.3390/recycling4010013
42. Ojo OE, Oludolapo OA. Cost-Benefit and Market Viability Analysis of Metals and Salts Recovery from SWRO Brine Compared with Terrestrial Mining and Traditional Chemical Production Methods. Water,2025:17:2855.
43. Okeke A. Towards sustainability in the global oil and gas industry: Identifying where the emphasis lies. Environmental and Sustainability Indicators,2021:12:100145.
44. Olajire AA. Recent advances on the treatment technology of oil and gas produced water for sustainable energy industry - Mechanistic aspects and process chemistry perspectives. Chemical Engineering Journal Advances,2020:4:100049. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ceja.2020.100049
45. Onita FB, Ochulor J. Novel petrophysical considerations and strategies for carbon capture, utilization, and storage (CCUS). Engineering Science & Technology,2023:4(6):637–650. https://doi.org/10.51594/estj.v4i6.1443
46. Onukwulu EC, Agho MO, Eyo-Udo NL. Advances in smart warehousing solutions for optimizing energy sector supply chains. Open Access Res J Multidiscip Stud,2021:2(1):139–157. https://doi.org/10.53022/oarjms.2021.2.1.0045

47. Onukwulu EC, Dienagha IN, Digitemie WN, Egbumokei PI. AI-driven supply chain optimization for enhanced efficiency in the energy sector. Magna Scientia Adv Res Rev,2021:2(1):87–108. https://doi.org/10.30574/msarr.2021.2.1.0060
48. Onuoha CB, Ebong IB, Ufomba H. The impact of oil exploration and environmental degradation in the Niger Delta region of Nigeria: A study of oil producing communities in Akwa Ibom State. Global Journal of Human-Social Science: F,2018:18(3):1–17.
49. Ordinioha B, Brisibe S. The human health implications of crude oil spills in the Niger delta, Nigeria: An interpretation of. Nigerian Medical Journal,2013:54(1):10–16. https://doi.org/10.4103/0300-1652.108887
50. Osuoha CA, Fakutiju MA. Gas flaring in Niger Delta Region of Nigeria: Cost, ecological and human health implications. Environmental Management and Sustainable Development,2017:6(2):390–410.
51. Petra Nova - W.A. Parish Project. Energy.gov, 2023. https://www.energy.gov/fecm/petra-nova-wa-parish-project. https://www.energy.gov/hgeo/petra-nova-wa-parish-project
52. Plastinina I, Teslyuk L, Dukmasova N, Pikalova E. Implementation of circular economy principles in regional solid municipal waste management: The case of Sverdlovskaya Oblast (Russian Federation). Resources,2019:8:90. https://doi.org/10.3390/resources8030090
53. Rahman E, Bin Halmi MIE, Samad MYBA, Uddin K, Mahmud K, Shukor MYA, *et al*. Design, operation and optimization of constructed wetland for removal of pollutant. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health,2020:17:8339. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph17228339
54. Rajasulochana P, Preethy V. Comparison on efficiency of various techniques in treatment of waste and sewage water: A comprehensive review. Resources and Technology,2016:2:175–184. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.reffit.2016.07.005
55. Ringim A. Nigerian oil dependent economy: Any lesson from Norway? Premium Times,2021. https://www.premiumtimes.ng/448601-nigerias-oil-dependent-economy-any-lesson-from-norway-by-abdulhaleem-ishaq-ringim.html
56. Rizos V, Behrens A, Van der Gaast W, Hofman E, Ioannou A, Kafyeke T, *et al*. Implementation of Circular Economy business models by small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs): Barriers and enablers. Sustainability,2016:8(11):1212. https://doi.org/10.3390/su8111212
57. Sanchez-Rosario R, Hildenbrand ZL. Produced water treatment and valorization: A Techno-Economical review. Energies,2022:15:4619.
58. Shahbaz M, Rashid N, Saleem J, Mackey H, McKay G, Al-Ansari TA. Review of waste management approaches to maximize sustainable value of waste from the oil and gas industry and potential for the State of Qatar. Fuel,2023:332:126220.
59. Sofoluwe OO, Ochulor OJ, Ukato A, Jambol DD. Promoting high health, safety and environmental standards during subsea operations. World Journal of Biology Pharmacy and Health Sciences,2024:18(2):192–203.
60. Stahel WR. The circular economy. Nature,2016:531(7595):435–438. https://doi.org/10.1038/531435a
61. Surkatti R, El-Naas MH, Van Loosdrecht MCM, Benamor A, Al-Naemi F, Onwusogh U. Biotechnology for gas-to-liquid (GTL) wastewater treatment: A review. Water,2020:12(8):2126. https://doi.org/10.3390/w12082126
62. TotalEnergies. Sustainability report, 2021. https://totalenergies.com/sustainability
63. Udok U, Akpan EB. Gas flaring in Nigeria: Problems and prospects. Global Journal of Politics and Law Research,2017:5(1):16–28.
64. Ukegbu OD, Okeke AO. Flaring of associated gas in the oil industry: Impact on growth, productivity and yield of selected farm crops. Proceedings of the Petroleum Industry and the Nigerian Environment, 1987,11–14. NNPC/Federal Ministry of Works and Housing.
65. UNFCCC. Paris Agreement. UNFCCC,2015. https://unfccc.int/process-and-meetings/the-paris-agreement
66. United Nations Development Programme (UNDP). Circular economy for sustainable development in Serbia, 2019. https://www.undp.org/serbia/news/circular-economy-sustainable-development-serbia
67. Van Opstal W, Smeets A. Circular economy strategies as enablers for solar PV adoption in organizational market segments. Sustainable Production and Consumption,2023:35:40–54. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.spc.2022.10.019
68. Waly TM, Al-Anezi FA, Saad AE. An integrated approach for sustainable produced water management in oil and gas operations. Journal of Petroleum Science and Engineering,188:106820.
69. Wang R, Li S. Re-using of construction waste view in foreign countries. Economic reference paper, Japan, 2011,1–4.
70. Watts M. Petro-violence, environmental degradation and the crisis of governance in the Niger Delta. Journal of Contemporary African Studies,2019:39(4):465–482.